

 <b>inbo</b>	<b>Note</b>
Date	16-09-2009
From	Adriaens Dries and Desiré Paelinckx
To	ETC – BD, Marita Arvela and Dough Evans (for the Sub workgroup WP 1 of the EC expert group on reporting)
Concerns	Article 17 Report under the Habitats Directive: Belgian approach for the criteria "Habitat for the species" and "Suitable Habitat for the species"
Status	Discussion note
Number of document	INBO-A2009.208

### **Article 17 Report under the Habitats Directive: Belgian approach for the criteria "Habitat for the species" and "Suitable Habitat for the species"**

**Dries Adriaens, Desiré Paelinckx**

#### **1. The criterion "Habitat for the species" in the report 2007** (see annex 1)

The criterion is function of three sub criteria according to the evaluation matrix (DocHab-04-03/03 rev. 3, annex C):

1. Is the habitat area sufficiently large for long term survival? ("area estimation")
2. Is the habitat area stable or increasing? ("trend")
3. Is the quality of the habitat suitable for the long term survival? (no field present in the reporting format).

#### **The Belgian approach to this criterion**

For fauna species, a description of the habitat is given but for most of them **the area could not be estimated due** to absence of any liable information. Further elaboration of the criterion was in those cases not possible. Reasons for this are e.g.:

- we have knowledge of the habitat of the species, but this can not be approached by translating it to the legend units of our land cover map (**lack of data**) (e.g. for many amfibians we know more or less which combination of water types and surrounding habitats is needed, but were this occur and with which area is hard to approach);
- we have no idea which are the key environmental criteria for the species (e.g. rare dragon flies at the edge of their natural range, were a lot of habitats which seems appropriate for them are not used at this moment) (**lack of knowledge about the habitat key factors**);
- the habitat of the species is so broad (they occur in all different kind of habitats) that it is very difficult to give a good definition of the habitat of the species (**generalists at the level of habitat choice**).

A quality assessment was only done when an area estimation was available, but also then this was not always possible.

In some cases, an overall assessment (favourable, unfavourable-inadequate, unfavourable-bad) is given, based only on the trend which originated in those cases mostly from expert opinion.

### **Habitat area**

In Table 1, we provide an overview of how the habitat area was estimated, if provided. A few approaches were used:

**(1)** When clear links exist between the habitat requirements of a species and the presence of particular habitat types (especially Annex I habitat types), a species' (potential) habitat area was estimated by adding up the known areas of the habitat types. This approach is used for plants, bryophytes and lichens.

For *Vertigo moulinsiana* a comparable approach was used, but in this case it concerns also non annex I habitat types (large sedge vegetations = Magnocaricion + sedge rich subtype of 91E0) which also can be derived from the Belgian land cover map.

**(2)** For only one species (*Lucanus cervus*), the results of a specific and elaborated research programme could be adopted thanks to extensive surveys of habitat requirements in Atlantic Belgium. The habitat area of existing populations was calculated in GIS after modelling of the collected habitat data.

**(3)** For other species, especially those requiring very large habitat areas, (potential) habitat area was cartographically mapped based on expert opinion. For most of these species (carnivores), current distribution and area use is very hard to assess.

E.g.:

- for marine mammals the total Belgian marine area was considered as range = area = suitable habitat;

- mammal carnivores need a very large area and are very mobile: it is very difficult to distinguish between areas really occupied by the species and suitable habitat → are considered the same.

**(4)** Habitat area of species with only a limited number of populations that are fully covered by existing monitoring programmes, is estimated based on the results of the intensive monitoring.

The methodology applied (see above) for each species is mentioned in Table 1. For species not listed in the table it was impossible to determine the habitat area (see above).

*Table 1. Species whose habitat area was determined as part of the first report under the Habitats Directive in 2007.*

Species	Biogeographic region	2.5.2. Area estimation (km <sup>2</sup> )	Approach used for area estimation (see text above) ***
<i>Cladonia</i> spp. (subgenus <i>Cladina</i> )	Atlantic	56*	1 (2310, 4030, 5130)
<i>Cricetus cricetus</i>	Atlantic	150	4
<i>Drepanocladus vernicosus</i>	Atlantic	0,5*	1
<i>Euphydryas aurinia</i>	Continental	5	4
<i>Halichoerus grypus</i>	Atlantic ocean	3451	3**
<i>Leucobryum glaucum</i>	Atlantic	324*	1
<i>Liparis loeselii</i>	Atlantic	0,1*	1 (7230 + ?2190?)
<i>Lucanus cervus</i>	Atlantic	121	2
<i>Lurionium natans</i>	Atlantic	5	1 (3130, 3260)
<i>Lutra lutra</i>	Atlantic	3460*	3
<i>Lycaena dispar</i>	Continental	50	4
<i>Lycaena helle</i>	Continental	20	4
<i>Lycopodium</i> spp.	Atlantic	45*	1
<i>Margaritifera margaritifera</i>	Continental	540	4
<i>Martes martes</i>	Atlantic	6450*	3
<i>Musccardinus avellanarius</i>	Atlantic	30	4
<i>Mustela putorius</i>	Atlantic	18828*	3
<i>Phoca vitulina</i>	Atlantic ocean	3451	3
<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	Atlantic ocean	3451	3
<i>Sphagnum</i> spp.	Atlantic	25*	1 (4010, 7110, 7140,

Species	Biogeographic region	2.5.2. Area estimation (km <sup>2</sup> )	Approach used for area estimation (see text above) ***
Stylurus flavipes	Atlantic	5	4
Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic ocean	3462*	3**
Vertigo moulinsiana	Atlantic	22	1 (sedge rich 91E0 and Magnocaricion)

\* equals the "suitable habitat area for the species"

\*\* range = favourable reference range = habitat area

\*\*\* the listed habitat types for approach 1 are specific for the Belgian situation. In other MS the species can occur in much more or other habitat types

### **Habitat trend**

The evaluation of the trend of the habitat area is almost exclusively based on expert judgement since no detailed information about the amount of habitat prior to 2007 was available.

### **Habitat quality**

On the official report form for the species of the Habitats Directive, only the first two questions, as stated above, need to be filled in. No assessment of the habitat quality is explicitly asked for, although the suitable habitat area can be filled in as complementary information. Therefore, as now, it remains impossible to discern the relative importance of each of the three questions above within the overall assessment of the criterion.

**Note:** for transparency reasons it is advisable to add an extra line in the reporting format (Annex B of DocHab-04-03/03 rev. 3) on habitat quality since this information can be needed to understand the overall assessment of the "Habitat for the Species". This can be added in the complementary information, since there are many cases where the overall assessment will be already declared by the area and trend assessment.

## **2. Suitable habitat area**

As already mentioned in § 1 it is obvious that for some species the "suitable habitat area" and the "actual habitat area" are the same. E.g.:

- for plants, bryophytes and lichens it is not possible to decide whether or not all places in the habitat types in which they occur can be occupied or not; using approach one (see § 1), both the actual and suitable habitat area is the sum of the area of the annex 1 habitat types as reported for them.

But sometimes it seems feasible now to differentiate more:

E.g. the habitat area of *Liparis loeselii* is the area of habitat type 7230. In fact, taking into account that the species can occur in Belgium also in habitat type 2190 (which she does no more today) the suitable habitat could be enlarged with the habitat area of this habitat type.

Such an approach is also used for e.g. *Luronium natans* occurring in habitat types 3130 and 3260. In fact this can be split in:

- actual habitat area: the amount of the habitats area of 3130 and 3260 which is occupied by the species (which is much lesser than the area reported in 2007);
- suitable habitat area: the total amount of these habitat types within the biogeographical region (which was wrongly taken as actual habitat area in 2007).

For (large) very mobile mammals the suitable habitat and actual habitat area has to be considered the same.

## **3. Possible scenarios to approve future Belgian reports**

### **Habitat area**

The approaches listed in § 1 will be further used, but if this will lead to refined area assessments needed for future trend analysis seems doubtful.

→ good examples of how this will be approached by other MS, e.g. in their monitoring programmes, could be very helpful!

**Suitable habitat area = lack of knowledge remain for a real scientific approach**

The exact amount of habitat that is needed for the long-term survival of a species is not easy to determine. Theoretically, the needed surface could be obtained if the favourable reference population and the needed area per population unit (individuals, pairs, tussocks, etc.) is known. However, these data are hard to determine (if possible or relevant), both from a practical and scientific point of view. In Belgium, the favourable reference population has not been determined yet for the species of the Habitats Directive. Nor is there an estimate of the area requirements of a population unit. Therefore, we are not able to give a general recommendation as to how the habitat area could be determined.

→ we have to use practical approaches such as mentioned in § 2 → examples per species (or species group) of how this criterion is approached by MS could be very helpful! → start a type of table listing comparable to “specific structure and functions” and “typical species” as agreed on in Helsinki.

**Habitat trend**

As soon as a rigorous monitoring system has been set up, regular inventories must enable the determination of the trend in habitat area, based on clear standards (see below for proposal).

**Habitat quality**

The Flemish region recently (end 2008) designed matrices to evaluate the local conservation status of annex II and IV species (download [here](#), in Dutch only). This approach is comparable with our system for habitat types and with these of e.g. Germany.

The local conservation status applies to a single population of a certain species and is evaluated by two criteria: population status and habitat quality. Both criteria can be described as being good, sufficient (corresponding both with a favourable conservation status) or bad (unfavourable) by means of several species-specific habitat indicators that are thought to be crucial for species survival and for which threshold values were determined based on scientific knowledge. In this way, the habitat quality matrices can be used to assess habitat quality locally. These scores can then be statistically aggregated across populations to answer the question: “is the habitat quality suitable to guarantee the long term survival of the species?” At the same time, the indicators allow one to specify a species’ most important habitat requirements for the determination of the “amount of suitable habitat” (i.e. the area a species could potentially occupy), which has to be reported as complementary information in the report form.

The same set of indicators (eventually after generalization) could also be used directly to assess conservation status at the biogeographical level if detailed information about single populations is lacking or obsolete.

Here, as an example, we provide a generic overview of relevant indicators for the local assessment of habitat quality for amphibian species, as used in the Flemish matrices to evaluate local conservation status (Table 2).

*Table 2. Indicators for the assessment of habitat quality for amphibian species. As an example, the corresponding threshold values for Triturus cristatus between a favourable and unfavourable conservation status are mentioned in the last column.*

---

Habitat	Indicator	example of threshold value (favourable/unfavourable) for <i>Triturus cristatus</i>
---------	-----------	--

---

Habitat	Indicator	example of threshold value (favourable/unfavourable) for <i>Triturus cristatus</i>
<b>Water</b>		
	Pool number and size	complex of 3-5 permanent small (<100m <sup>2</sup> ) or one large (>250m <sup>2</sup> ) pond
	Nutrient richness	mesotrophic to slightly eutrophic
	pH	6-8
	Vegetation	10-50% of the water surface covered by dense floating or submerged vegetation
	Light conditions	<33% shadow
	Water permanence	pond contains water until begin august and stands clear only 1 year in 4 before begin august
	Predator presence	low abundance of fish
<b>Land</b>		
	Biotope description	small-scale landscape with forest, tall herbs, wooded banks, etc.
	Distance to water habitat	300-500m
	Disturbance	traffic roads present but seldomly used

A similar generic scheme of relevant habitat indicators for bats is given in Table 3.

*Table 3. Indicators for the assessment of habitat quality for bat species. As an example, the corresponding threshold values for *Barbastella barbastellus* between a favourable and unfavourable conservation status are mentioned in the last column.*

Habitat	Indicator	example of threshold value (favourable/unfavourable) for <i>Barbastella barbastellus</i>
<b>Winter</b>		
	Temperature	0-10°C
	Presence microhabitat	present
	Humidity	>80%
	Air circulation	draught free
	Additional habitat requirements (e.g. hollow trees)	>2% hollow trees with dbh >40cm, and 30-50% deciduous forest with understorey within 1km radius from winter quarter
	disturbance	none, starting from august
<b>Summer</b>		
	Temperature	no data
	Presence microhabitat (e.g. crevices)	crevices 40cm depth
	Accessibility	not applicable
	Disturbance – noise	none
	Disturbance – light	not applicable
<b>Hunting</b>		
	Habitat	forest landscape with ≥3 features a.o.: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• clearings</li> <li>• uneven aged, mixed forest stands</li> <li>• internal + external forest fringes</li> <li>• wet zones</li> </ul>
	Distance to summer habitat	no data
	Small landscape elements along hunting route	present, interruptions <25m

Annex I. Report under the Habitats Directive for the species of the Belgian Atlantic and Continental Biogeographical region for the year 2007 (see <http://biodiversity.eionet.europa.eu/article17/speciesreport>).

Regio	Naam	Range (km2)			Ref.	Population				Ref.	Habitat (km2)				Future			
		Surface	%XR	Trend		Size&Unit	%XP	Trend	Area		%XH	Trend	Suitable	prosp.				
Atl	Alytes obstetricans	3875	2,1	=	3875	1	83 - 83 grids	N/A	=	83	1	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Atl	Bufo calamita	6025	2,7	=	6025	1	94 - 94 grids	N/A	=	94	1	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Atl	Coronella austriaca	2250	1,8	=	2250	1	25 - 25 grids	N/A	-	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Atl	Hyla arborea	875	0,4	-	875	3	9 - 9 grids	N/A	-	>>9	3	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Bad	3
Atl	Pelobates fuscus	400	0,6	-	400	3	6 - 6 grids	N/A	-	>>6	3	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Bad	3
Atl	Rana arvalis	1700	1,8	=	1700	1	34 - 34 grids	N/A	=	34	1	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Atl	Rana esculenta	18829	14,4	=	18829	1	585 - 585 grids	N/A	=	585	1	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Atl	Rana lessonae	4207	7	X	>4207	0	62 - 62 grids	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Atl	Rana ridibunda	N/A	N/A	X	>>	0	N/A grids	N/A	X	>>	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Atl	Rana temporaria	18829	3	=	18829	1	713 - 713 grids	N/A	=	713	1	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Atl	Triturus cristatus	11852	3,3	-	16848	3	157 - 157 grids	N/A	-	>>157	3	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Bad	3
Atl	Alosa fallax fallax	204,8	0,2	+	400	3	4 - 4 grids	N/A	=	>>4	3	N/A	N/A	+	N/A	3	Bad	3
Atl	Barbus barbus	487,3	0,4	+	487,3	1	(200) - 200 area	N/A	+	>200	1	N/A	N/A	+	N/A	2	Poor	2
Atl	Cobitis taenia	2335,9	2,3	+	2335,9	1	36 - 36 grids	N/A	+	>36	2	N/A	N/A	+	N/A	2	Good	1
Atl	Cottus gobio	3774,7	1,7	+	3774,7	1	34 - 34 grids	N/A	+	>34	2	N/A	N/A	+	N/A	2	Good	1
Atl	Lampetra fluviatilis	1595,9	0,8	+	3650	3	23 - 23 grids	N/A	+	>>23	3	N/A	N/A	+	N/A	2	Good	1
Atl	Lampetra planeri	1379,3	0,6	=	2375	3	23 - 23 grids	N/A	=	>23	2	N/A	N/A	=	N/A	3	Poor	2
Atl	Misgurnus fossilis	700	1,8	=	2225	3	12 - 12 grids	N/A	=	>>12	3	N/A	N/A	=	N/A	3	Poor	2
Atl	Petromyzon marinus	150	0,1	+	1225	3	2 - 2 indiv.	N/A	+	>>2	3	N/A	N/A	+	N/A	3	Poor	2
Atl	Rhodeus sericeus amarus	7551,5	11,8	=	7551,5	1	102 - 102 grids	N/A	+	102	1	N/A	N/A	+	N/A	1	Good	1
Atl	Salmo salar	50	0	=	2925	3	2 - 2 grids	N/A	=	>>2	3	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	2	Good	1
Atl	Anisus vorticulus	300	2,6	X	>300	0	3 - (3) grids	N/A	X	>3	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Atl	Callimorpha quadripunctaria	5325	7,8	+	5325	1	30 - 30 grids	N/A	+	30	1	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Atl	Helix pomatia	593	1,7	X	>593	0	24 - (24) grids	N/A	X	>24	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Atl	Hirudo medicinalis	37	0,1	X	>37	0	2 - 2 grids	N/A	X	>2	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Atl	Leucorhina pectoralis	2960	8,3	=	5844	3	10 - 10 grids	N/A	=	>>10	3	N/A	N/A	=	N/A	0	Poor	2
Atl	Lucanus cervus	3494	1,7	=	3494	1	44 - 44 grids	N/A	-	>>44	3	121	1	X	N/A	2	Poor	2
Atl	Gomphus flavipes	259,6	1,9	+	259,6	1	4 - 4 grids	N/A	+	>4	2	5	0,4	+	N/A	2	Good	1
Atl	Unio crassus	N/A	N/A	=	>>	0	N/A indiv.	N/A	=	>>	0	N/A	N/A	=	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Atl	Vertigo angustior	900	6,1	=	>900	2	11 - 11 grids	N/A	=	39	3	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	2	Poor	2
Atl	Vertigo moulinsiana	1275	4,9	=	>1275	2	70 - 100 loc.	N/A	=	94	2	22	2	-	330	2	Poor	2
Atl	Barbastella barbastellus	156	0,1	=	~156	1	1 - 10 indiv.	N/A	X	>10	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Atl	Castor fiber	811,6	2,5	+	811,6	1	60 - (60) indiv.	N/A	+	>60	2	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Bad	3
Atl	Cricetus cricetus	612	5,8	=	650	2	80 - 80 x	13.4	=	>>80	3	150	3,8	=	N/A	2	Bad	3
Atl	Eptesicus serotinus	18784	4,9	=	18784	1	6000 - 6000 indiv.	N/A	+	6000	1	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Atl	Lutra lutra	2672	0,5	=	3467	3	- 7 grids	N/A	=	>>20	3	3460	10	+	3460	3	Bad	3
Atl	Martes martes	6502	1,6	+	6502	1	30 - 50 indiv.	N/A	+	>>200	3	6502	12,9	+	6502	2	Poor	2

Regio	Naam	Range (km2)			Ref.	Population				Habitat (km2)				Future				
		Surface	%XR	Trend		Size&Unit	%XP	Trend	Ref.	Area	%XH	Trend	Suitable	prosp.				
Atl	Muscardinus avellanarius	352,4	0,3	-	>>352,4	3	(8) - 8 grids	N/A	-	>>8	3	30	0,4	-	N/A	3	Bad	3
Atl	Mustela putorius	18829	3,6	=	18829	1	746 - 746 grids	N/A	-	>746	2	18828	34	=	18828	2	Poor	2
Atl	Myotis bechsteini	301,5	0,2	=	301,5	1	1 - 10 indiv.	N/A	=	>10	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Atl	Myotis brandtii	18829	7,6	=	18829	1	800 - (800) indiv.	N/A	+	800	1	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Atl	Myotis dasycneme	18829	19,5	=	18829	1	1 - 80 indiv.	N/A	+	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Atl	Myotis daubentonii	18829	3	=	~18829	1	(3900) - 3900 indiv.	N/A	+	~3900	1	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Atl	Myotis emarginatus	18829	10,6	=	18829	1	1 - 370 indiv.	N/A	+	370	1	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Atl	Myotis myotis	996,1	0,4	=	996,1	1	1 - 20 indiv.	N/A	X	>20	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Atl	Myotis mystacinus	18829	4	=	18829	1	1 - 1470 indiv.	N/A	+	1470	1	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Atl	Myotis nattereri	18829	3,5	=	18829	1	(1580) - 1580 indiv.	N/A	+	1580	1	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Atl	Nyctalus leisleri	324,8	0,1	=	324,8	1	20 - (20) indiv.	N/A	X	>20	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Atl	Nyctalus noctula	18829	5,4	=	18829	1	1300 - (1300) indiv.	N/A	X	>1300	2	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Atl	Pipistrellus nathusii	18786	8,8	=	18786	1	1 - 4000 indiv.	N/A	X	>4000	2	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Atl	Pipistrellus pipistrellus	18682	2,8	=	18682	1	(375000) - 375000 indiv.	N/A	=	375000	1	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Atl	Plecotus auritus	18829	3,3	=	18829	1	3500 - (3500) indiv.	N/A	+	3500	1	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Atl	Plecotus austriacus	18829	10,1	=	18829	1	100 - (100) indiv.	N/A	+	>100	2	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Atl	Rhinolophus ferrumequinum	98,5	0	=	>>98,5	3	1 - 2 indiv.	N/A	=	>>2	3	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Bad	3
Atl	Rhinolophus hipposideros	N/A	N/A	=	>>	3	N/A indiv.	N/A	=	>>	3	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	3	Bad	3
Atl	Vespertilio murinus	148	0,4	=	>148	2	10 - (10) indiv.	N/A	X	>10	2	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Atl	Felis silvestris	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A x	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3
Atl	Apium repens	96	2,4	=	96	2	5 - 6 loc.	N/A	=	>6	2	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	2	Poor	2
Atl	Cladonia spp. (subgenus Cladina)	2784	2,8	X	2784	1	24 - 24 grids	N/A	X	>24	3	56	0,3	=	56	3	Bad	3
Atl	Hamatocaulis vernicosus	16	0,1	=	>>16	3	1 - 1 loc.	N/A	X	>>1	3	0,5	0,2	=	0,5	3	Bad	3
Atl	Leucobryum glaucum	6576	5	=	6496	1	151 - 151 grids	N/A	-	137	1	324	0,6	=	324	2	Poor	2
Atl	Liparis loeselii	32	0,5	=	>>32	3	5 - 8 indiv.	N/A	=	>>8	3	0,1	0	+	0,1	3	Bad	3
Atl	Luronium natans	3409	5	-	3409	1	39 - 39 grids	N/A	X	39	3	5	0,2	=	5	3	Bad	3
Atl	Lycopodium spp.	4156	1,3	X	4156	1	100 - 100 grids	N/A	-	100	2	45	0,1	=	45	3	Poor	2
Atl	Sphagnum spp.	8624	1	X	4960	1	328 - 328 grids	N/A	X	312	1	25	0	-	25	3	Bad	3
Cont	Alytes obstetricans	11200	5,7	=	11200	1	680 - 680 grids	N/A	=	650	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Bufo calamita	2591	0,4	-	2591	1	154 - 154 grids	N/A	=	200	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Bad	3
Cont	Coronella austriaca	8350	1,2	-	8350	2	300 - 300 grids	N/A	-	320	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Lacerta agilis	590	0,1	-	590	2	500 - 500 indiv.	N/A	-	5000	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Poor	2
Cont	Podarcis muralis	8450	2,9	=	8450	1	686 - 686 grids	N/A	+	500	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Rana esculenta	10800	1,3	=	10800	1	900 - 900 grids	N/A	+	800	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Rana lessonae	2378	0,5	X	~2378	0	10 - (10) grids	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Rana temporaria	11750	1,3	=	11750	1	1990 - 1990 grids	N/A	-	1200	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Triturus cristatus	4973	0,6	=	4973	1	90 - 90 grids	N/A	-	300	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Poor	2
Cont	Barbus barbus	6195	2,1	+	6195	1	(3150) - 3150 area	N/A	+	2500	1	N/A	N/A	+	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Cobitis taenia	50	0	+	50	0	(50) - 50 area	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Cottus gobio	11813	2,3	=	11813	1	(6450) - 6450 area	N/A	=	4000	1	N/A	N/A	+	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Lampetra planeri	11888	3,7	=	11888	1	(6425) - 6425 area	N/A	=	>4000	1	N/A	N/A	=	N/A	0	Poor	2

Regio	Naam	Range (km2)			Population				Habitat (km2)				Future				
		Surface	%XR	Trend	Ref.	Size&Unit	%XP	Trend	Ref.	Area	%XH	Trend	Suitable	prosp.			
Cont	Rhodeus sericeus amarus	360	0,1	-	360	2 (360) - 360 area	N/A	X	360	0	N/A	N/A	-	N/A	0	Poor	2
Cont	Thymallus thymallus	6774	2,2	+	6774	1 (6774) - 6774 area	N/A	+	6774	1	N/A	N/A	+	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Astacus astacus	11888	2,2	-	11888	3 (2025) - 2025 area	N/A	-	25	3	N/A	N/A	-	N/A	0	Bad	3
Cont	Callimorpha quadripunctaria	5700	2,7	X	5650	0 76 - 76 grids	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Coenagrion mercuriale	450	1	+	450	1 11 - 11 colony	N/A	+	40	3	N/A	N/A	-	N/A	3	Poor	2
Cont	Eriogaster catax	25	0,1	X	N/A	0 4 - 4 colony	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Euphydrys aurinia	1483	1,3	-	200	3 10 - 15 grids	N/A	-	100	3	5	0	=	10	3	Bad	3
Cont	Helix pomatia	N/A	N/A	X	10000	0 N/A grids	N/A	X	80	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Hirudo medicinalis	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0 N/A colony	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Lucanus cervus	4627	1,8	-	4627	1 52 - 52 grids	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Lycaena dispar	680	0,1	+	680	1 20 - 46 colony	N/A	+	40	1	50	0,2	+	50	1	Good	1
Cont	Lycaena helle	4400	3,3	-	4950	3 100 - 125 grids	N/A	-	200	3	20	0,4	-	30	2	Poor	2
Cont	Maculinea arion	25	0	=	700	3 1 - 1 colony	N/A	=	60	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	Poor	2
Cont	Margaritifera margaritifera	1097	3,6	-	1097	3 (3000) - 3000 x	N/A	-	>3000	3	540	15,2	-	540	0	Bad	3
Cont	Oxygastra curtisii	390	2,7	=	390	1 36 - 36 colony	N/A	+	20	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	Good	1
Cont	Proserpinus proserpina	75	0	X	N/A	0 3 - (3) grids	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Unio crassus	5600	1,5	X	5600	2 (2200) - 2200 area	N/A	X	>2200	2	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Vertigo moulinsiana	258	0,4	X	N/A	0 8 - (8) grids	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Barbastella barbastellus	316	0	-	~5000	3 1 - 3 grids	N/A	-	~30	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Bad	3
Cont	Cricetus cricetus	N/A	N/A	-	350	3 N/A grids	N/A	-	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Bad	3
Cont	Eptesicus serotinus	9229	1	=	9229	1 20 - (20) grids	N/A	=	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Felis silvestris	8488	4,1	=	8400	1 (3300) - 3300 area	N/A	=	3000	2	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Lutra lutra	8300	1,5	-	8300	3 (20) - 20 indiv.	N/A	-	>20	3	N/A	N/A	-	N/A	0	Poor	2
Cont	Martes martes	9824	1	=	9673	1 (9673) - 9673 area	N/A	X	9673	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Muscardinus avellanarius	11888	2,4	-	11888	2 (9700) - 9700 area	N/A	-	9000	2	N/A	N/A	-	N/A	0	Poor	2
Cont	Mustela putorius	11888	1,2	-	11800	1 (11500) - 11500 area	N/A	-	10000	2	N/A	N/A	-	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Myotis bechsteini	7056	1,3	=	7000	1 30 - (30) grids	N/A	+	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Myotis brandtii	10925	1,6	=	10000	1 60 - 83 grids	N/A	=	75	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Myotis dasycneme	4782	1,2	=	5000	1 10 - 16 grids	N/A	-	30	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Myotis daubentonii	11349	1,2	=	~10000	1 50 - 75 grids	N/A	+	~75	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Myotis emarginatus	10561	4,3	=	10000	1 30 - 41 grids	N/A	=	50	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Myotis myotis	10392	1,3	=	10000	1 40 - 56 grids	N/A	=	60	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Myotis mystacinus	10925	1,3	=	10000	1 60 - 83 grids	N/A	=	75	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Myotis nattereri	10973	1,2	=	10000	1 30 - 44 grids	N/A	=	50	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Nyctalus leisleri	275,9	0	X	N/A	0 1 - 2 grids	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Nyctalus noctula	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0 N/A x	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Pipistrellus nathusii	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0 N/A x	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	3
Cont	Pipistrellus pipistrellus	11293	1,2	=	10000	1 N/A x	N/A	N/A	100	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Plecotus auritus	10990	1,3	=	10000	1 70 - 91 grids	N/A	=	90	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Plecotus austriacus	10990	1,5	=	10000	1 70 - 91 grids	N/A	=	90	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Rhinolophus ferrumequinum	10230	5,7	=	10000	1 30 - 43 grids	N/A	=	50	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Poor	2



Regio	Naam	Range (km2)			Population				Habitat (km2)				Future					
		Surface	%XR	Trend	Ref.	Size&Unit	%XP	Trend	Ref.	Area	%XH	Trend	Suitable	prosp.				
Cont	Rhinolophus hipposideros	2832	1	-	8000	3	5 - 13 grids	N/A	-	50	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Bad	3
Cont	Vespertilio murinus	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A x	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Arnica montana	2153	0,8	-	4000	3	40 - 63 grids	N/A	-	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Bromus grossus	228	0,8	-	3000	3	1 - 8 grids	N/A	-	40	3	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Bad	3
Cont	Cladonia spp. (subgenus Cladina)	4242	0,3	=	4000	1	(32) - 32 grids	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Dicranum viride	12	0	N/A	N/A	0	1 - (1) grids	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Hamatocaulis vernicosus	96	0	X	N/A	0	(2) - 2 grids	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Leucobryum glaucum	10455	1,4	=	10000	1	(189) - 189 grids	N/A	=	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Good	1
Cont	Liparis loeselii	16	0	X	N/A	0	1 - (1) grids	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Bad	3
Cont	Luronium natans	16	0	X	N/A	0	1 - 1 grids	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Bad	3
Cont	Lycopodium spp.	2830	0,3	-	5000	3	(69) - 69 grids	N/A	-	100	3	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0
Cont	Sphagnum spp.	7278	0,2	=	7000	1	(239) - 239 grids	N/A	-	300	3	N/A	N/A	-	N/A	3	Poor	2
Cont	Trichomanes speciosum	248	1	X	N/A	0	(7) - 7 grids	N/A	X	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	X	N/A	0	Unk.	0